Downloaded from orbit.dtu.dk on: May 04, 2023

DTU Library

Stochastic reconstruction of the flow in the Earth's outer core from 170 years of observatory data

Gillet, Nicolas ; Jault, Dominique; Finlay, Chris

Publication date:
2012

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):
Gillet, N., Jault, D., & Finlay, C. (2012). *Stochastic reconstruction of the flow in the Earth's outer core from 170 years of observatory data*.

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Assimilating geomagnetic data into stochastic / deterministic quasi-geostrophic models of the Earth's outer core

Nicolas Gillet[1], Dominique Jault[1] and Chris Finlay[2]

(1) Institut des Sciences de la Terre, Grenoble, France (2) DTU Space, Copenhagen, Denmark

Geomagnetic data, from the Earth's surface to the core-mantle boundary

- continuous satellites records since 1999:
  global coverage ⇒ cleaner separation of internal and external sources
- observatories: continuous series, absolute intensities since 1840
- navigation: since late XVIth century, orientation only before 1840
- archeological artifacts and sediments: indirect records over the past 10,000 yrs



Fig. 1- data frequency from available databases of the archeomagnetic, historical, observatory and satellite era.

- Downward continuation through an electrically insulating mantle (Fig. 2) of noisy observations y^o at or above the Earth's surface
  - radial potential magnetic field B_r at the core surface:

$$y^o = \mathrm{H}(B_r) + \mathrm{e}^o \qquad (1)$$

  - indirect measurements of the core state (ill-conditioned Green's functions in H)



Fig. 2- From Fournier et al (2010)

Time-variable and time-correlated errors on the secular variation $\partial B_r/\partial t$



- Field changes related to horizontal core motions $\mathbf{u}_h$ through the core surface radial induction equation:

$$\frac{\partial B_r}{\partial t} = -\nabla_h \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h B_r) + \eta \nabla^2 B_r \qquad (2)$$

with accuracy of the secular variation estimate depeding on both epochs and length-scales (Fig. 3)

- unresolved field features B_r' at small length-scales ($\ell < 800$ km at the core surface), with extrapolated decadal time-scales ⇒ time-correlated errors of representativeness (Gillet et al, 2009)

$$e^r = -\nabla_h \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h B r') \qquad (3)$$

Fig. 3- Ensemble of realizations of the secular variation spherical harmonic coefficients dg_1^0/dt (top) and dg_{10}^5/dt (bottom), in nT/yr, with the average value in black (from Gillet et al, submitted).

Geomagnetic power spectrum $S(f)$ and stochastic modeling

- Observed series suggest the process X sampled by geomagnetic records is:
  - $\mathcal{C}^0$ (continuous, not differentiable) on centenial periods and longer (Fig. 5)
  - $\mathcal{C}^1$ (continuous, once differentiable) from 5 to 100 yrs periods (Fig. 6)
- spectral densities (Fig. 4) are compatible with Auto-Regressive (AR) processes and stochastic differential equations (below W stands for a white noise process)



Fig. 4- Composite power spectral density (PSD) for several geomagnetic series, superimposed with that of some AR processes.



Fig. 5- Virtual axial dipole moment (VADM) from archeomagnetic records (Genevey et al, 2008).

- $100 - 10^5$ yrs periods: $S(f) \propto f^{-2}$
  - AR-1 process with $\tau_1 \sim 20,000$ yrs, e.g.

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} + \frac{X}{\tau_1} = W\,,$$

  - if valid for the dipole (Fig. 5), is it still the case for smaller length-scales?

- $5 - 100$ yrs periods: $S(f) \propto f^{-4}$
  - AR-2 process with $\tau_2 \sim 1000$ yrs, e.g.

$$\frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial t^2} - \frac{3X}{\tau_2^2} = W\,.$$

⇒ the induction equation (2) suggests the flow is governed by an AR-1 stochastic differential equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_h}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{u}_h}{\tau_u} = \mathbf{w} \qquad (4)$$



Fig. 6- Eastward component of $d\mathbf{B}/dt$ at the Niemegk observatory (Germany).

- aim at coupling (2) and (4) with an Ensemble Kalman Smoother (Evensen & Van Leeuwen, 2000), using an state augmentation approach (e.g. Reichle et al, 2002) to account for time-correlated errors in equation (3).
  This is made possible by the small size of this problem, $\mathcal{O}(500)$ parameters / epoch.
- NB: instantaneous flow accelerations are meaningless in this framework, only flow increments between two epochs make sense.

References

- Canet, Fournier & Jault, J. Geophys. Res. 114, B11101, doi:10.1029/2008JB006189, 2009.
- Evensen & Van Leeuwen, Mon. Weather Rev. 128, 1852–1867, 2000.
- Fournier et al, Space Sci. Rev. 155, 247-291, 2010.
- Fournier, Aubert and Thébault, Geophys. J. Int 186, 118–136, 2011.
- Genevey et al, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 9, Q04038, doi:10.1029/2007GC001881, 2008.
- Gillet, Jault, Finlay & Olsen, submitted to Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst..
- Gillet, Jault, Canet & Fournier, Nature 465, 74–77, 2010.
- Gillet, Pais & Jault, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 10, Q06004, doi:10.1029/2008GC002290, 2009.
- Jault, Phys. Earth Planet. Int. 166, 67–76, 2008.
- Li, Livermore & Jackson, Phys. Rev. E 84, 056321, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.84.056321, 2011.
- Liu, Tangborn & Kuang, J. Geophys. Res. 112, B08103, doi:10.1029/2006JB004691, 2007.
- Reichel, McLaughlin & Entekhabi, Mon. Weather Rev. 130 , 103–114, 2002.

Sampling rate of the core state

- high sampling rate in modern (observatories and satellite) data, but...
  - 6 months day-time (i.e. noisy) data at high latitudes: fake global coverage
  - ambiguity between core and external (magneto- and ionospheric) sources
  - mantle conductivity = low-pass filter: which cut-off frequency?
- monthly to interannual periods:
  - shall we extrapolate the AR-2 process at periods below $\mathcal{O}(1)$ year?
  - should the process be different at periods shorter than the 4 yrs period of deterministic torsional (i.e. zonal and geostrophic) Alfvén waves, responsible for length-of-day (LOD) changes at 6–9 yrs periods (after Gillet et al 2010) ?



Fig. 7- Torsional Alfvén waves (left), as detected from observatory records, travelling from the inner core ($s = 0.35$) to the equator of the outer core ($s=1$), and their 6–9 yrs band-pass signature (right) on the LOD changes compared to the observations.

Imaging magnetic forces inside the core with a deterministic model?

- 3-dimensional sequential (Liu et al, 2007; Fournier et al, 2011) and variational (Li et al, 2011) attempts at estimating the core state, but filter out rapid variations
- in the Earth's core: inertial waves period $\tau_i \ll$ magnetic Afvén waves period $\tau_a \ll$ magnetic diffusion time τ_d
  ⇒ large length-scale transient motions invariant along the rotation axis $\mathbf{e}_z$ (Jault, 2008), cf. Lehnert number

$$\lambda = \tau_i/\tau_a \sim 10^{-4}\,.$$

  ⇒ weak magnetic diffusion at large length-scales, as measured by the Lundquist number

$$S = \tau_d/\tau_a \sim 10^5\,.$$

- motivates a diffusiveless quasi-geostrophic model for z-invariant equatorial motions (following Canet et al 2009)

$$\mathbf{u}_e(s,\phi,t) = \mathbf{e}_z \times \nabla\psi\,,$$

and the z-averaged quadratic quantities

$$\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{2H}\int_{-H}^{H} \left[B_s^2, B_\phi^2, B_s B_\phi\right] dz\,,$$

with $H(s)$ the half-height of a fluid column, and $\beta(s) \propto \dfrac{1}{\lambda}\dfrac{dH}{ds}$ the coriolis parameter:

$$\beta \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t \partial \phi} = \mathcal{F}(\psi, \mathbf{q}) \qquad (5)$$

- coupling eqns (1), (2) and (5) using an EnKF
  - to obtain a first image of magnetic forces $\mathbf{q}$:
  - to forecast the field evolution (candidate to the International Geomagnetic Reference Field)



Fig. 8- Example of equatorial maps for ψ (top) and $d\psi/dt$ (bottom) obtained from satellite data in 2005 and equation (2), after Gillet et al (2009).

(a) must account for large uncertainties on ψ, even more on $\partial_t\psi$
(b) favored by a suspected steep spatial power spectrum for $\mathbf{q}$
(c) bounded value problem (positiveness + Cauchy-Schwartz constraint on the unknown $\mathbf{q}$)
(d) reconcile stochastic constraint (4) with (5): consider flow increments instead of $\partial_t\psi$.

Mail: nicolas.gillet@ujf-grenoble.fr